# On the PPA-completeness of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz and the Chevalley-Warning Theorem

Miklos Santha

CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, France

and

Centre for Quantum Technologies, NUS, Singapore

joint work with

Alexander Belov
U. of Latvia, Riga

Gábor Ivanyos
SZTAKI, Budapest

Youming Qiao
U. Tech., Sydney

Siyi Yang
CQT, Singapore

# Overview of the talk

1. The class PPA
2. CNSS and Chevalley-Warning Theorem
3. Arithmetic circuits and parse subcircuits
4. The problems PPA-Circuit Chevalley and PPA-Circuit CNSS
5. PPA-hardness and PPA-easiness

# The class PPA

# Functional NP (FNP)

NP-search problems are defined by binary relations

$$R \subseteq \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^* \text{ such that}$$

– $R \in P$,
– for some polynomial $p(n)$, $R(x,y) \implies |y| \leq p(|x|)$.

SEARCH PROBLEM $\Pi_R$
*Input:* $x$
*Output:* A solution $y$ such that $R(x,y)$ if there is any, or "failure"

$\Pi_R$ is reducible to $\Pi_S$ if there exist polynomial time computable functions $f$ and $g$ such that, for every positive $x$,

$$S(f(x),y) \implies R(x,g(x,y)).$$

# Total Functional NP ($\mathrm{TFNP}$) [MP'91]

An $\mathrm{NP}$-search problem is total if for all $x$ there exists a solution $y$.

Facts:

- If $\mathrm{FNP} \subseteq \mathrm{TFNP}$ then $\mathrm{NP} = \mathrm{coNP}$.
- If $\mathrm{TFNP} \subseteq \mathrm{P}$ then $\mathrm{P} = \mathrm{NP} \cap \mathrm{coNP}$.

$\mathrm{TFNP}$ is a semantic complexity class

Syntactical subclasses of $\mathrm{TFNP}$:

- Polynomial Local Search $\mathrm{PLS}$
  Examples: Local optima, pure equilibrium in potential games
- Polynomial Pigeonhole Principle $\mathrm{PPP}$
  Examples: Pigeonhole SubsetSum, Discrete Logarithm
- Polynomial Parity Argument classes $\mathrm{PPA}, \mathrm{PPAD}$.

# Polynomial Parity Argument [P'94]

Parity Principle: In a graph the number of odd vertices is even.

Definition: PPA is the set of total problems reducible to LEAF

LEAF

*Input:* $(z, M, \omega)$, where

- $z$ is a binary string
- $M$ is a polynomial TM that defines a graph $G_z = (V_z, E_z)$
- $V_z = \{0,1\}^{p(|z|)}$ for some polynomial $p$
- for $v \in V_z$, $M(z, v)$ is a set of at most two vertices
- $\{v, v'\} \in E_z$ if $v' \in M(z, v)$ and $v \in M(z, v')$
- $\omega \in V_z$ is a degree one vertex, the standard leaf

*Output:* A leaf different from $\omega$.



# PPA with edge recognition and pairing

Graphs $G_z = (V_z, E_z)$ of unbounded degree can be defined by two polynomial time algorithms $\epsilon$ and $\phi$:

- Edge recognition: $\{v, v'\} \in E_z \Leftrightarrow \epsilon(v, v') = 1$
- Pairing: For every vertex $v$,
  - if $\deg(v)$ is even the function $\phi(v, \cdot)$ is a pairing between the vertices adjacent to $v$.
  - if $\deg(v)$ is odd then
    there exists exactly one neighbor $w$ such that $\phi(v, w) = w$,
    and on the remaining neighbors $\phi(v, \cdot)$ is a pairing.



Fact: A problem defined in terms of $\epsilon$ and $\pi$ is in PPA.

Proof: Let $G'_z = (V'_z, E'_z)$ be defined as

- $V'_z = E_z$
- $\{\{v, w\}, \{v, w'\}\} \in E'_z$
  if $\phi(w) = w'$.

# Examples of problems in PPA

Few complete problems are known, all discretizations or combinatorial analogues of topological fixed point theorems:

- 3-D Sperner in some non-orientable space [G'01]
- Locally 2-D Sperner [FISV'06]
- Sperner and Tucker on the Möbius band [DEFLQX16]
- 2-D Tucker in the Euclidean space [ABB'15]

Many problems of various origins are in PPA:

- Graph theory: Smith, Hamiltonian decomp. [P'94]
- Combinatorics: Necklace splitting and Discrete ham sandwich [P'94]
- Algebra: Explicit Chevalley [P'94]
- Number theory: Square root and Factoring [J'16]

# Combinatorial Nullstellensatz and Chevalley-Warning Theorem

# Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

Theorem [Alon'99]: Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field, let $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ be non-negative integers, and let $P \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial. Suppose that

- $\deg(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i$,
- the coefficient of $x_1^{d_1} \ldots x_n^{d_n}$ is non-zero.

Then for every subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ of $\mathbb{F}$ with $|S_i| > d_i$, there exists $(s_1, \ldots s_n) \in S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ such that

$$P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \neq 0.$$

Consequences in algebra, graph theory, combinatorics, additive number theory ...

# Chevalley-Warning Theorem

Theorem [Chevalley'36, Warning'36]: Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic $p$, and let $P_1, \ldots, P_k \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be non-zero polynomials.

If $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \deg(P_i) < n$, then the number of common zeros of $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ is divisible by $p$.

In particular, if the polynomials have a common root, they also have another one.

# The theorems over $\mathbb{F}_2$

Definition A multilinear polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_2$ is

$$M(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{T \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} c_T \prod_{i \in T} x_i, \quad \text{where} \quad c_T \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

Fact: For every $P$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$, there exists a unique multilinear polynomial $M_P$ such that $P$ and $M_P$ compute the same function.

Definition: The multilinear degree of $P$ is $\mathrm{mdeg}(P) = \deg(M_P)$.

Theorem [Combinatorial Nullstellensatz over $\mathbb{F}_2$]: Let $P$ be such that $\mathrm{mdeg}(P) = n$.
Then there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $P(a) = 1$.

Theorem[Chevalley-Warning over $\mathbb{F}_2$]: Let $P$ such that $\mathrm{mdeg}(P) < n$, and let $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $P(a) = 0$.
Then there exists $b \neq a$ such that $P(b) = 0$.

Theorem: $\mathrm{mdeg}(P) < n \iff$ the number of zeros is even

# How to make them search problems?

Theorem[P'94]: The following problem is in PPA.

EXPLICIT CHEVALLEY

*Input:* Explicitly given polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \deg(P_i) < n,$$

and a common root $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$.

*Output:* Another common root $a' \neq a$.

Remark: $a$ is common root $\Leftrightarrow P(a) = 0$ where

$$P = 1 + \prod_{i=1}^{k} (P_i + 1)$$

Could this be PPA-hard? Probably not. Two restrictions:

- $P$ is given by an arithmetic circuit of specific form
- even the degree of $P$ is less than $n$

# Arithmetic circuits and parse subcircuits

# Arithmetic circuits




$C$ is a labeled, directed, acyclic graph.

Labels $= \{+, \times\}$,

$G^+ =$ sum gates, $G^\times =$ product gates.

Computational gates have indegree 2:
left and right child

Polynomial computed by $C$

$$C(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \times (x_2 + x_3 + x_4)$$
$$= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_2^2 + x_2x_4 + x_3^2 + x_3x_4$$

# Lagrange-circuits

Circuits computing the Lagrange basis polynomials $L_a(x)$

$$L_a(x) = 1 \iff x = a$$




Lagrange-circuit $L_{100}$

# Degrees in a circuit

There are 3 types of degree




Formal degree $= 3$ Polynomial degree $= 2$ Multilinear degree $=1$

$2 = 0$ $x^2 = x$

easy to compute ??

We are interested in the multilinear degree

# Multilinear degree and monomials




How can we certify $\mathrm{mdeg}(C(x)) = n$?

What is the the complexity of $\textsc{Mdeg} = \{C : \mathrm{mdeg}(C(x)) = n\}$?

We wish $\textsc{Mdeg} \in \mathrm{NP}$

A monomial $m$ computed by $C$ is maximal if $\mathrm{mdeg}(m) = n$

Fact: $\mathrm{mdeg}(C(x)) = n \iff$ odd number of maximal monomials

Difficulty: the number of monomials computed by $C$ can be doubly exponential in the size of $C$

We can certainly say that $\textsc{Mdeg} \in \oplus\mathrm{EXP}$

# Monomials in arithmetic formulae

Let $F$ be an arithmetic formula

Monomials are computed by parse subtrees defined by the marking of appropriate sum gates: $S : G^+ \to \{\ell, r, *\}$:



# Parse subcircuits

$C$ arithmetic circuit. A parse subcircuit is a partial marking

$$S : G^+ \to \{\ell, r, *\}$$

such that

marked vertices $=$ accessible vertices




computes $x_3^2$




computes $x_1 x_4$

# Parse subcircuits witness monomials

$\mathcal{S}(C) =$ set of parse subcircuits of $C$,

$m_S(x) =$ monomial computed by parse sub circuit $S$

Theorem: Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 2. Then

$$C(x) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(C)} m_S(x).$$







$m_U m_W = x_2 x_3$

$m_{U'} m_{W'} = x_2 x_3$

Corollary: $\textsc{Mdeg} \in \oplus\mathrm{P}$

Proposition: $\textsc{Mdeg}$ is $\oplus\mathrm{P}$-hard.

# The problems

# PPA-Circuit Chevalley

# and PPA-Circuit CNSS

# Towards PPA-circuits

We would like to characterize PPA with arithmetic circuits

Auxiliary circuits $I$ and $I \diamond C$:







$I(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x_i + y_i + 1)$

$I(x, y) = 1 \iff x = y$

$I \diamond C(x) = 1 \iff C(x) = x$

# PPA-circuits

Definition: A PPA-circuit is the PPA-composition $C_{D,F}$ of two $n$-variable, $n$-output arithmetic circuits $D$ and $F$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$




$C_{D,F}$

PPA-Circuit Matching Lemma:

If $C$ is a PPA-circuit then in polynomial time a perfect matching $\mu$ can be computed between the maximal parse subcircuits of $C$.

# PPA-Circuit Matching Lemma

We want to define a polynomial time computable $\mu$:
perfect matching on the maximal parse subcircuits of $C_{D,F}$




$$C_{D,F} = C_1 + C_2 + C_3$$

$\mu$ is defined inside $C_1$, inside $C_2$ and inside $C_3$

# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_1$



# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_1$




$S_{\text{out}} = \{1, 2\}$

$S_{\text{middle}} = \{1, 3\}$

$S_{\text{in}} = \{1, 2, 3\}$

$i \in S_{\text{out}}$ if the edge from the $d_i$ to $h_i$ belongs to $S$

$i \in S_{\text{middle}}$ if there exists an edge in $S$ from $f_i$ to a gate in $D$

$i \in S_{\text{in}}$ if there exists an edge in $S$ from $x_i$ to a gate in $F$

Claim: $S_{\text{out}} \subseteq S_{\text{in}}$

# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_1$

Case 1: $S_{\text{out}} \subset S_{\text{in}}$

Let $i$ be the smallest index in $S_{\text{in}} \setminus S_{\text{out}}$




$S$




$\mu(S)$

# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_1$

Case 2: $S_{\text{out}} = S_{\text{in}}$




$S$




$\mu(S)$

# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_2$



# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_2$




$S_{\text{out}} = \{1, 2\}$

$S_{\text{middle}} = \{1, 3\}$

$S_{\text{in}} = \{1, 2, 3\}$

# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_2$

Case 1: $S_{\text{out}} \subset S_{\text{in}}$

Let $i$ be the smallest index in $S_{\text{in}} \setminus S_{\text{out}}$




$S$




$\mu(S)$

# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_2$

Case 2: $S_{\text{out}} = S_{\text{in}}$ and $S(g) \neq S(g')$ for some sum gate in $D$




$S$




$\mu(S)$

# The matching $\mu$ inside $C_2$

Case 3: $S_{\text{out}} = S_{\text{in}}$ and $S(g) = S(g')$ for all sum gate in $D$




$S$




$\mu(S)$

# The computational problems

## PPA-Circuit Chevalley

*Input:* $(C, a)$, where
$C$: an $n$-variable PPA-circuit over $\mathbb{F}_2$,
$a$: a root of $C$.

*Output:* Another root $b \neq a$ of $C$.

## PPA-Circuit CNSS

*Input:* $(C', a)$, where
$C$: an $n$-variable PPA-circuit over $\mathbb{F}_2$,
$a$: an element of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$.

*Output:* An element $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ satisfying $C = C' \oplus L_a$.

# The result

Main Theorem: PPA-Circuit Chevalley
and PPA-Circuit-CNSS are PPA-complete.

The proof contains three parts:

Proposition: PPA-Circuit Chevalley
and PPA-Circuit CNSS are polynomially equivalent.

Hardness Theorem: PPA-Circuit Chevalley is PPA-hard.

Easiness Theorem: PPA-Circuit CNSS is in PPA.

# PPA-hardness and PPA-easiness

# PPA-hardness

Theorem: PPA-Circuit Chevalley is PPA-hard.

Proof: Reduce Leaf to PPA-Circuit Chevalley

Express the $\leq 2$ neighbours $M(u)$ of $u$ via $D(u)$ and $F(u)$:

- Case 1: 

then $D(u) = F(u) = u$,
- Case 2: 

then $D(u) = v$ and $F(u) = u$,
- Case 3: 

then $D(u) = v$ and $F(u) = w$

Claim: Parity of $\deg(u)$ = Parity of satisfied components of $C_{D,F}$


(a) Case 1




(b) Case 2-a


(c) Case 2-b




(d) Case 3-a




(e) Case 3-b




(f) Case 3-c

# PPA-easiness

We prove something stronger

MATCHED-CIRCUIT CNSS

*Input:* $(C, T, \mu)$, where
$C$: an $n$-variable arithmetic circuit over $\mathbb{F}_2$,
$T$: maximal parse subcircuit
$\mu$: polynomial time perfect matching for the maximal parse subcircuits in $C$ but $T$.

*Output:* An element $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ satisfying $C$.

Theorem: MATCHED-CIRCUIT CNSS is in PPA

# An instance of Matched-Circuit CNSS

*Input:* $N = (C, T, \mu)$ Remark: $C(x) = x_1 x_2$










$\mu$ matches $\ell\ell r$ and $\ell r *$

unmatched $T = rr\ell$

# PPA-easiness

Theorem: Matched-Circuit CNSS is in PPA

Proof: We reduce Matched-Circuit CNSS to Leaf.




$G_N$ resulting from the Circuit-CNSS instance $N = (C, \mu, T)$

# The pairing on the left hand side

Vertex 01 of even degree:

For all parse subcircuit $S$, $m_S(a) = 1$, $\exists$ sum gate $g$ with $P_g(a) = 0$




$r\ell r$




$rrr$

# The pairing on the left hand side

Vertex 11 of odd degree:

There exists a unique $S$, $m_S(a) = 1$, such that $P_g(a) = 1$ for all sum gate $g$




$\ell\ell\ell$




$\ell\ell r$




unique unmatched parse subcircuit

Thank you